## Lower bounds.

- 1. Is there a parameterized reduction from Vertex Cover to Independent Set?
- 2. In the Multicolored Biclique problem the input consists of a bipartite graph G with bipartition classes A, B, an integer k, a partition of A into k sets  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k$ , and a partition of B into k sets  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_k$ , the question is whether there exists a subgraph of G isomorphic to the biclique  $K_{k,k}$ , with one vertex in each of the sets  $A_i$  and  $B_i$ . Prove that Multicolored Biclique is W[1]-hard.
- 3. Given a graph G and an integer k, the Induced Matching problem asks for an induced matching of size k, that is, k edges  $x_1y_1, \ldots, x_ky_k$  such that the 2k endpoints are all distinct and there is no edge between  $\{x_i, y_i\}$  and  $\{x_j, y_j\}$  for any  $i \neq j$ . Prove that Induced Matching is W[1]-complete.
- 4. In the Long Induced Path problem the input consists of a graph G and an integer k, and the question is whether G contains the path on kvertices as an induced subgraph. Prove that this problem is W[1]-hard when parameterized by k.
- 5. Given a graph G and an integer k, the Independent Dominating Set problem asks for a set of exactly k vertices that is both an independent set and a dominating set. Prove that Independent Dominating Set is W[2]-complete.

## Lower bounds.

- 1. Is there a parameterized reduction from Vertex Cover to Independent Set?
- 2. In the Multicolored Biclique problem the input consists of a bipartite graph G with bipartition classes A, B, an integer k, a partition of A into k sets  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k$ , and a partition of B into k sets  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_k$ , the question is whether there exists a subgraph of G isomorphic to the biclique  $K_{k,k}$ , with one vertex in each of the sets  $A_i$  and  $B_i$ . Prove that Multicolored Biclique is W[1]-hard.
- 3. Given a graph G and an integer k, the Induced Matching problem asks for an induced matching of size k, that is, k edges  $x_1y_1, \ldots, x_ky_k$  such that the 2k endpoints are all distinct and there is no edge between  $\{x_i, y_i\}$  and  $\{x_j, y_j\}$  for any  $i \neq j$ . Prove that Induced Matching is W[1]-complete.
- 4. In the Long Induced Path problem the input consists of a graph G and an integer k, and the question is whether G contains the path on kvertices as an induced subgraph. Prove that this problem is W[1]-hard when parameterized by k.
- 5. Given a graph G and an integer k, the Independent Dominating Set problem asks for a set of exactly k vertices that is both an independent set and a dominating set. Prove that Independent Dominating Set is W[2]-complete.